

April 12, 1991

Mr. Patrick Sharp
Compliance Specialist
Premerger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition, Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
6th Street & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

RECEIVED

PROFICE

PROFICE

PROFICE

PROFICE

PROFICE

PROFICE

RE:

Dear Mr. Sharp:

The purpose of this letter is to follow up on our recent telephone discussion regarding the referenced subject.

In October, 1987, representatives of

appropriate documents pursuant to the Premerger Notification Requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act of 1986 ("Act"). These documents proposed a linkage agreement which would result in the

Peay, Contract Representative of the Premerger Notification Office, confirmed by correspondence dated November 5, 1987, that the parties request for early termination of the waiting period was granted and effective as of October 30, 1987.

As I stated during our telephone discussion, it is now anticipated that will be formally merged into as of July 1, 1991, resulting in one Board of Trustees under the University. This letter confirms your conclusion that this latest transaction does not require an additional filing under the Act in light of the prior review of the transaction. I sincerely appreciate your time and consideration in this matter.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

hydration had taken flore as trulies. Will just adjoint adjoint adjoint our discussion in which I advant the procession our which is at adjoint the procession of which is not adjoint the procession of the proce

A.